According The The Holy Scriptures Iran Shall Crush Israel Then Russia Shall Crush NATO

When Israel is pounded by Iran and the Muslim shouts *Allahu Akbar* and the Christian shout *Alleluia*, both rejoice with heaven since God sees Hebrew-Israel as Babylon. Disagree? Then bring all of your Bible scholars and do not forget to have them bring their striking hammers with them and see how they crack against this anvil. Israel being defeated by Iran is of course a difficult pill to swallow for Jews and American Evangelicals. For example, protestant scholar Gregory K. Beale argues:¹

"... there is not one example of "Babylon" ever being a symbolic name for Israel, either before or after 70 a.d. This does not mean such an application is impossible, but the burden of proof rests on those maintaining the Babylon = Jerusalem identification. Indeed, there is a general OT precedent in that a few of the prophets sometimes refer to Israel as "Sodom" or "Egypt" (e.g., Isa. 1:10; 3:9; Jer. 23:14; Ezek. 16:44-58)."

Beal (like all these scholars do) fail to include the correct references. Jeremiah corrects this nonsensical error:

"For <u>Israel</u> is not forsaken, nor <u>Judah</u>, by his God, the LORD of hosts, though <u>their</u> <u>land</u> was <u>filled</u> with <u>sin</u> against the Holy One of Israel. Flee from the midst of <u>Babylon</u>, and every one *save his life*! Do not be cut off in her iniquity, for this is the time of the LORD's vengeance; He shall recompense her." (Jeremiah 51:5-6)

Whose "land is filled with sin" here called "Babylon?" Is it Iraq or "Israel" and "Judah?"

The question cripples such arguments. Here let us pass some water to aid in swallowing this difficult pill lodged in pro-Israel throats. Here is a question which neither Jew, Catholic or Protestant can answer unless they confess and convert to Orthodox Christianity: why does 1 Peter 5:13 insinuate that Rome is *"Babylon"* and in Jeremiah 51:5-6 *"Judea"* is also called *"Babylon,"* and in Isaiah 21 *"Arabia"* is also called *"Babylon?"* For centuries scholars debated St. John's *Babylon* and since he called it *"City"* (singular) and because 1 Peter 5:13 designates Rome as *"Babylon,"* protestants assured Catholics it was 'Rome' while Catholics (to diffuse protestants) point to 'Jerusalem' since it was designated as *"the great city"* in Apocalypse 11. But this is a case of two prostitutes, at an arrest by a police officer, pointed the finger at each other, when both by their looks and their behaviors are obvious whores. Is Jerusalem or Rome a whore? But in seeming contradictions and controversies lays what

¹ Babylon is Jerusalem Commentary, Biblestudytools.com 1.3.4 provides an argument by Gregory K. Beale, The Book of Revelation: A Commentary on the Greek Text (Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1999), 25... Gregory K. Beale is a biblical scholar, Professor of New Testament and Biblical Theology at Reformed Theological Seminary in Dallas. Made a number of contributions to conservative biblical hermeneutics, particularly in the area of the Old Testament in the New Testament yet could not find a single reference in the Old Testament for Babylon?

blinds the tares, but are keys for the wise. Did these ignorant milk-fed fail to read the scriptures:



Quiz: Which of the two is the prostitute?

"The great city was split into **<u>three parts</u>**, and the cities of the nations fell. Babylon the great was remembered in the sight of God, to give her the cup of the wine of His fierce wrath." (Revelation 16:19)

Babylon is a triple-tiered ("*three parts*") that includes three world orders: 1—The Jewish World Order centered in Jerusalem 2—the Wahhabist World order centered in Arabia and 3—the western world order centered in Rome. In the ends of days, all three represent "earthly Jerusalem" which encompasses "the cities of the nations fell." In Isaiah 21:10 we find "Arabia" and her strange bedfellow (Israel) destroyed together by Iran:

"Go up, O <u>Elam</u>! [Iran] besiege, O <u>Media</u>!" (Isaiah 21:2) "<u>Babylon</u> is <u>fallen</u> is <u>fallen</u>" (Isaiah 21:9) "The burden against <u>**Arabia**</u>" (Isaiah 21:13) "All the <u>glory of Kedar</u> will <u>fail</u>" (Isaiah 21:16)

Isaiah also laments the destruction of his people, the Jews of Israel:

"<u>My people</u> who <u>are crushed</u> on the <u>threshing floor</u>, <u>I tell you</u> what <u>I have heard</u> from <u>the LORD Almighty</u>, from the God of Israel" (Isaiah 21:10)

"Therefore my loins are filled with pain; pangs have taken hold of me, like the pangs of a woman in labor. I was distressed when I heard it; I was dismayed when I saw it." (Isaiah 21:3)

"My heart falters, fear makes me tremble, the twilight I longed for has become a horror to me"² (Isaiah 21:4)

Isaiah lumps "Arabia" and the Jews ("My people") together as Babylon while he laments that this "Babylon" also included his people³ (Judea): "My people who are crushed on the threshing floor". "My people" is similar to when God told Daniel 11:14 "the violent ones among your people" are definitely the Hebrews. Isaiah 21 confounded scholars since it attributes to Judea and "Arabia" the same phrase used by St. John's Apocalypse 14:8 "Babylon is fallen is fallen" are two "fallen" is why St. Paul attributes earthly Jerusalem to "Sinai in Arabia". St. Paul did not come up with this phrase out of thin air for he was referencing the other prophets.

But for Protestants, isn't Babylon strictly Rome? And for Catholics isn't Babylon strictly Jerusalem? We must not forget that according to St. John, the "beast" must destroy the "*harlot*" "*mother*"⁴ is Jerusalem:

"And the ten horns which you saw, and the beast, these will hate the harlot and will make her desolate and naked, and will eat her flesh and will burn her up with fire. For God has put it in their hearts to execute His purpose by having a common purpose, and by giving their kingdom to the beast, until the words of God will be fulfilled." (Apocalypse 17:16-17)

What "Common Purpose?" God here even has a common purpose with Iran? Indeed. Heaven approves. This is a unique event when in Apocalypse 17 and Apocalypse 19, both heaven and beast rejoice since the two in this case will have a "common purpose":

"After these things I heard a loud voice of a great *multitude in heaven*, saying: "Alleluia! Salvation and glory and honor and power belong to the Lord our God! For true and righteous are His judgments, because He has judged the *great harlot* who corrupted the earth with her fornication; and He has avenged on her the *blood* of *His* servants shed by her. Again they said, "Alleluia! Her smoke rises up forever and ever!" (Apocalypse 19:1-3)

Of whom did Jesus say that sheds the blood of the *prophets* but the Jews? So if the Muslim shouts Allahu Akbar and the Christian shouts Alleluia both rejoice with heaven. The only one who objects are the Evangelicals (the west). It is Jerusalem that spilt the "blood of His servants" and the prophets.⁵ St. Paul in Galatians 4:25 associates Jerusalem to "Sinai in Arabia," not the Sinai Peninsula. He is referring to "the desert of the sea" Isaiah 21:1 as literal "Arabia" combined with "Jerusalem" as "Babylon" are the

² The Septuagint, the Greek translation of the Hebrew Bible, translates verse 2 as, "Against me are the Elamites, and the princes of the Persians are coming against me."

³ For example, Daniel 11:14 "the violent ones among your people" are definitely the Hebrews

see Apacalypse 17:5: "and on her forehead a name *was* written, a mystery, "BABYLON THE GREAT, THE <u>MOTHER</u> OFHARLOTS AND OF THE ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH" 5 see Luke 11:49-51, Matthew 23:34

Jewish (Kabbalist) and *Wahhabism's* world orders. All religious Jews approve of Kabbalah. Practical Kabbalah constituted an agglomeration of all the magical practices that developed in Judaism from the Talmudic period down through the Middle Ages. And while the Talmud deceptively claimed it forbids divination, it hid rabbinic mysticism, which focused around exegesis of Ezekiel's vision of the divine Chariot-Throne. The elite practical mysticism, as described in the Hekhalot literature, evolved into magical incantation elements.

Then we have Shiite-Sunni divide has a vendetta to settle with Arabia and so does the neo-Ottomanists who never forget how during WWI, Arabia stood with the British during Sherif Hussein⁶ contributed in giving the deadly wound to the Ottomans.

Arabia's fate being struck by Iran ("Elam") explains Isaiah 13:20 where "The Arabian will no longer pitch his tent there" (Isaiah 13:20) is referring to "Babylon"-Arabia. This is the end of the Wahhabist spiritual fountain. Scholars were confounded since Isaiah attributes to "Arabia" and Israel the same phrase used by St. John's Apocalypse 14:8 "Babylon is fallen is fallen" and as Jeremiah 51 also calls Israel and Judah "Babylon". Therefore, Isaiah 21 is the destruction of Arabia and the end of what Iran and Russia struggled with: Hebrew-Israel and the Wahhabist world orders. From Communism founded by Jews, the Arab Spring, destruction of Syria, Libya and Iraq, spreading Jihadism amongst Chechens, to the Serbian war, Wahhabism was aided by the west. Russia suffered millions upon millions from Marxism-Leninism. Communism was a German product exported to Russia through Jewish thinkers. The Godfather of Communism, Karl Marx was a German Jew. His primary mentor, the man who convinced him to embrace communism, was also another German, Jewish philosopher and poet by the name of Moses Hess. He was the father of revolutionary socialism in Germany remembered today as the first "secular Zionist" and his socialist comrades had dubbed him "the Communist Rabbi." These taught that the obligation of the philosopher and the citizen, was to seek out the most irrational in society (rebels), and then to criticize the governmental-system mercilessly, which today is what the west still does and is notorious for generating NGOs to foment revolutions.

While St. Peter defines Rome (the west) as "*Babylon*"⁷ and Jeremiah 51:5-6 also considers "*Judah*" (Jerusalem) as "*Babylon*" and here Isaiah provides another tier: Arabia/Kedar as "*Babylon*" confirms our interpretation of Apocalypse 16:19, that Babylon is a triple-tiered global entity.

Any further doubt must examine how *Eber* is finally annihilated in the oldest prophecy regarding the end of the nations. Hebrew-Israel, the custodians of these books rejected Christ's interpretations and relied on the best of their scholars; Rabbi Ăqībā' ben Yōsēf,'⁸ key figure, biblical scholar, principal founder and father of rabbinic Judaism.⁹ Numbers 24 gives the victory for "*Israel*" yet annihilates "*Eber*".

Isn't *Eber* and *Israel* the same? Hardly. Number 24 was speaking of an allegoric *Israel*, that is the Israel of God, not *Eber*.

 ⁶ see The Arab Revolt (الغربة العربية الكرى) al-Thawra al-Arabiyya al-Kubrā) by the Hashemite-led Arabs of the Hejaz against the Ottoman Empire of WWI—Matthew Hughes (2013). Allenby and British Strategy in the Middle East, 1917-1919. p. 73.
 7 see 1 Peter 5:13

⁸ see Tractate Ta'anit, 4:5a: "It is taught that R. Shimon bar Yohai, in the name of R. Akiva, would interpret 'a star (Kokhav) shall come out of Jacob' as Bar Kokhba will come out of Jacob."

⁹ Jewish Encyclopedia bibliography: Frankel, Darke ha-Mishnah, pp. 111-123

When the Jews carried out their interpretations, Rome crushed them instead then "*Japheth*" later on, came to "dwell in *the tents* of *Shem*".¹⁰ By "*tents*" are these camelhair tents for bedouins, or is a tent a tabernacle? Rome inherited the dominion over the tabernacles (tents) of Shem by eviction from the Landlord for failing to greet Him when He came to collect the rent.

Like Protestant ignorance, these mainly relied on Numbers 24 to advance their revolt against Rome ignored where the text says that Cyprian ships "oppress <u>Eber</u> [Hebrew-Israel];¹¹ so <u>he also</u> [Eber] will perish forever." In all fifteen occurrences in scripture, "Eber" is mainly the father of the Hebrews. But he is also the father of the Arabs. Eber had two sons, "<u>Peleg</u>" (from whom are the Hebrews) and "<u>Joktan</u>" the head of many Arabian tribes.¹² Joktan was the ancestor/progenitor of all the purest Arabian tribes of Central and Southern Arabia.¹³ From the descendants of Joktan eventually came the nomadic Arabs of later history whom even Islamic historians acknowledge the Arabs are "beni Qahtan"—Arabic for the sons of Joktan! Ishmael became the father of a line of desert people who joined with the already existing sons of Joktan to find the matrix of the people later known as Arabs.¹⁴

The text in Numbers 24 is explicit (הוא עַדי אבָד 'Hua A'di Abad') "he [Eber] too perishes" and "abad" literally means "extinct"¹⁵ as when the church was done with circumcision. From the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus ("Khittim") here comes the Turks to aid Iran and annihilate the Jews fulfill Ezekiel:

"Therefore this is what the Sovereign Lord says: As I have given the wood of the vine among the trees of the forest as <u>fuel for the fire</u>, so will I treat the people living in <u>Jerusalem</u>. I will set my face <u>against them</u>. Although they have <u>come out of the fire</u> [the first holocaust] the <u>fire will yet consume them</u> [another holocaust]. And <u>when I</u> set my face <u>against them</u>, you will <u>know</u> that I am the Lord. I will make the land desolate because they have been <u>unfaithful</u>, declares the Sovereign Lord." (Ezekiel 15:6-8)

Here we have two holocausts, the first was by the Nazis and the second by Iran and the Turks. To object the scholars must then explain the very prophecy U.S. politicians have used to slander Russia:

"... I hid My face from them; so I gave them into the hand of their adversaries, and <u>all of them</u> fell by the sword. And I will set My glory among the nations; and all the nations will see My judgment which I have executed and My hand which I have <u>laid on them</u>". (Ezekiel 39:23)

Ooops! How many *"fell by the sword?"* Do these pay attention to detail? This becomes crystal clear. What part of *"all of them"* did these miss?

¹⁰ see Genesis 9:27

 ¹ Eber are considered the Hebrews. See LXX. Taroum, Levine, Numbers 21-36, 206
 12 See CYCLOPEDIA of BIBLICAL, THEOEOGICAL and ECCLESIASTICAL LITERATURE Joktan came 13 descendants whose names are mentioned in Genesis 10:26–29. Some of those descendants (Sheba, Ophir, and Havilah) appear later in the Bible as names of places in the Arabian Peninsula.

¹³ See: https://christiananswers.net/dictionary/joktan.html

¹⁴ See *The Rise of the Crescent:* The Arabs and Islam by Dr. William Varner is Professor of Bible and Greek at The Master's College in Santa Clarita, California.

^{15 &}quot;Abad" Arabic: ואבד Hebrew: אבד Liquidiate, Eradicate, Annihilate, Raze—see Almaany For example: Dretuonomy 4:26 "to utterly perish from the land" Psalm 9:6 "perpetual end" is everlasting end. Joel 1:11 "the harvest of the field perish"

If by "Great City" was meant to be a singular city, how do "the kings of the earth" "<u>made</u> [coerced] <u>all</u> the <u>nations drink</u> of the wine of her <u>sexual immorality?</u>"¹⁶ What "sexual immorality?" These are unaware that even the west sits in what the prophets call Sodom as the main ally of the mother-harlot: Hebrew-Israel. These failed to read Ezekiel 16 where God promises to "restore" the nation of Israel as "Canaan" and where Jerusalem is given a "sister" ally named "Sodom" which becomes her "daughter" and "like mother like daughter"¹⁷ where the United States of Sodom emulates her mother and sister Israel. St. John did not come up with the phrase "Mother of Harlots" out of this air. He was referring to Ezekiel 16. Here, let me help these understand:

"When they [the two witnesses] have finished their testimony, the <u>beast</u> that comes up out of the abyss will make war with them, and overcome them and kill them. And their dead bodies will lie in the street of the <u>great city</u> that <u>allegorically</u> is called <u>Sodom</u> and <u>Egypt</u>, where <u>also</u> their Lord was crucified. Then those from the <u>peoples</u>, <u>tribes</u>, <u>tongues</u>, and <u>nations</u> will see their dead bodies three-and-a-half days, and not allow their dead bodies to be put into graves." (Apocalypse 11:8-9)

The "beast" goes out of line, kills the Two Witnesses, is when God finally intervenes. But before we explain all this first, the scholar must answer; was Christ crucified in Cairo? Reading this prophecy, the scholar thinks microcosmically by honing in on Jerusalem since "the great city" is in singular form, especially when it says "where <u>also</u> their Lord was crucified" is unquestionably Jerusalem. But these fail to focus on the word "also" has a purpose. It is akin to reading an article that says:

'gay parades where seen throughout the United States where <u>also</u> their president resides.'

But the president of the United States resides in the White House in Washington D.C. (the Great City), which is only one city from hundreds of cities within a massive nation that covers 50 different states. Therefore, it becomes obvious, the focus is not just only on Washington D.C., but upon an entire empire, since the United States is "*also*" where the president was inaugurated and is where he "*also*" resides.

Therefore, what St. John is saying, is that Jerusalem will be part of this mega immoral enterprise being the Roman Empire where "*also*" Christ was crucified. All St. John was saying was, that this empire also includes Hebrew-Israel itself. St. John is correct. Judea became a Roman province in 6 AD.¹⁸ St. John was simply encompassing Jerusalem (the Mother) as part and parcel of this mega empire. Just like all New Testament prophets, St. John did not come up with "*Sodom*" and "*Egypt*" out of thin air for his book quoted the Old Testament more than any other New Testament book. Besides Apocalypse 11:8, this "*Sodom*" is also found in Ezekiel 16:46, 48, Isaiah 1:10, 3:9, Jeremiah 23:14, 49:18, 50:40, Lamentations 4:6, Zephaniah 2:9. God defines what is plural (unipolar) in singular form, to signify the unity of allegoric Babylon is a unipolar world order which Orthodox Russia

¹⁶ In a still clearer light has John, in the Apocalypse, indicated to the Lord's disciples what shall happen in the last times, and concerning the ten kings who shall then arise, among whom the empire which <u>now rules</u> shall be partitioned." (Against Heresies, <u>Book V</u>, XXVI.1

¹⁷ see Ezekiel 16:44

 ¹⁸ Judaea was a Roman province from 6 to 132 AD, which incorporated the Levantine regions of Judea, Samaria and Idumea, extending over parts of the former regions of the Hasmonean and Herodian kingdoms of Judea... See Westwood, Ursula "A History of the Jewish War, AD 66–74". *Journal of Jewish Studies*. 68, also see PBS, The Roman Empire in the First Century, Josephus & Judea, also see

stands against and takes the lead in this war. Regardless of religious or sectarian divisions Saudi Arabia, Israel and the west are united.

This is where scholars were unable to connect the dots to see prophecies that were not meant to be applied regionally and microcosmically (as in the old format) but globally and macrocosmically, since God in Apocalypse 11 obviously speaks of a Babylon he calls "Sodom" that is beyond the geography of ancient Iraq, even an "Equpt" that is not even near Cairo. This "Sodom" is an extension of old Rome, is flung as far as the New World. These failed to connect the dots:

"Is this your jubilant city, whose origin is from <u>antiquity</u>, whose feet used to carry her to <u>colonize distant places</u>?" (Isaiah 23:7)

It was the Roman Province of Britannia that birthed the United States which became a colony of Great Britain. Like Isis and the Wahhabists who use the Quran to defend their evil views, Evangelicals defend Israel, even by using the scriptures, and by this they do the work of their father: the devil.

For many years I have committed this same error I learned from the Evangelicals of which I repent.

The foolish man that I was.

When the command comes to "flee" Babylon, who was St. John addressing? He is addressing all three includes "where their Lord was crucified" has become the "Sodom" which you already see. Isaiah sums up this global Sodom:

"The ox knoweth his owner, and the donkey his master's crib; Israel doth not know, my people hath no intelligence. Ah sinful nation, a people laden with iniquity, a seed of evildoers, children that corrupt themselves! They have forsaken Jehovah; they have despised the Holy One of Israel; they are turned away backward ... Hear the word of Jehovah, *rulers* of *Sodom*; give ear unto the law of our God, people of Gomorrah!" (Isaiah 1:3,4, 10)

How many "rulers" of Sodom are there? Many. The ox is the Jew and the donkey is the Christian who forgot His "master's crib" is Messiah born at the manger. The whole of Christendom becomes steeped into idolatry (as in Rome's Pachamamism) and have become effeminate. Since the Ferrara-Florence Council of 1438–1439, Florence was the hub of pedophilia¹⁹ where pederasty was an integral part of Florentine lifestyle.²⁰ Regardless of righteous remnants who fought against pedophilia, from Peter Damien in the eleventh century²¹ to Girolamo Savonarola (1452 – 1498), the phenomenon never ceased to what we see today; pedophile priests still roam the earth oozing from Rome's orifice; the pigsty at the Vatican. Its sophist-sycophants still 'trust' and 'obey' become its best defenders who still uphold the burning²² of Savonarola as a wise decision to protect the institution, since to these, outside of Rome exists no salvation, not even for poor

[&]quot;The men of Renaissance Florence were so renowned for sodomy that "Florenzer" in German meant "sodomite."—see Forbidden FriendshipsHomosexuality and Male Culture in Renaissance Florence by Michael Rocke 19

²⁰ Ibid

St. Peter Damien (1007-1072) Abbot of the Fonte Avellana Monastery and the Cardinal/Bishop of Ostia, in his Liber Gomorrhianus, circa 1049, exposes how far and wide pedophilia spread, particularly in the hierarchy of the Catholic Church.
 see article by Herbert Lucas, Savonarola, Preacher & Prophet, EWTN. Also see New Advent describes Savonarola's actions as "Janaticism, obstinacy, and disobedience"

Savonarola whom these workers of iniquity burned. Rome being a harlot is a difficult pill to swallow for Rome's and Aquinas' sycophants, but swallow of the "*cup*" they shall. Aquinas who syncretized pagan philosophies with Christianity becomes what the scriptures allegorize as "*Samaria*" in Ezekiel 16 is another sister of "Jerusalem".

Russia Shall Destroy NATO And Have The Dominion

Is Russia Gog and Magog? Since the 1700s to the end of the 1800s, we have Robert Lowth, John Cummings, the 'eminent' Hebrew scholars C. F. Keil and Wilhelm Gesenius. Then came Cyrus I. Scofield, Clyde E. Billington to name a few. Even Presidents like Ronald Reagan²³ and George W. Bush²⁴ advanced this slander of a Russian Gog by simply isolating Ezekiel 38-39. All these westerners failed to answer a question from God Himself which also addresses the west:

"Are you [Gog] not the one I spoke of in former days by my <u>servants</u> the <u>prophets</u> of Israel?"—Ezekiel 38:17

"*Prophets*" and "*servants*" are in the plural not singular form. The question is perhaps the most lethal trap for all who accuse Russia is even embedded in the very prophecy used against Russia. This would mean that these isolated Ezekiel since Gog is in the rest of the *prophets*. This would mean that Ezekiel 38 has other sister prophecies that mention *Gog* in the other *prophets*. Their failure to resolve the mystery lays in a dilemma; in the Old Testament, the name "*Gog*" is only featured in Ezekiel.

Now bring all your scholars, be they pharisees or sadducees to answer: where is Gog in the other *prophets*?

Did Ezekiel err?

Welcome to God's renaming convention that evaded all western lying scholars. It is a standard throughout the whole of scriptures, where God uses different aliases for all tyrants. "*Gog*" in the other "*prophets*" was simply given different aliases which no western scholar considered, not just in Ezekiel but throughout. Therefore, one cannot conclude who *Gog* is from a single prophet (Ezekiel). By failing to reference the other *prophets*, especially Daniel, this Protestant scholarly conclusion becomes bankrupt. *Gog* is in Daniel 11. God told Daniel to set a "*seal*" in Daniel 12 (the following chapter) since the subject matter was concerning *Gog* and "*the time of the end*":

"But you, Daniel, shut up the words, and <u>seal</u> the book until the <u>time of the</u> <u>end</u>; many shall run to and fro, and <u>knowledge shall increase</u>." (Daniel 12:4)

"Many shall be purified, made white, and refined, but the wicked shall do wickedly; and <u>none of the wicked shall understand</u>, but the <u>wise</u> shall <u>understand</u>." (Daniel 12:10)

If these prophecies were "*sealed*" "for the time of the end," how then could the centuries worth of western scholarship claim they understood? Better yet, how can "the wicked" fail

^{23 &}quot;Mills, in a 1985 magazine article, said that Reagan, his voice rising in pitch, continued, "It can't be long now. Ezekiel says that fire and brimstone will be rained upon the enemies of God's people. That must mean that they'll be destroyed by nuclear weapons. They exist now, and they never did in the past." Reagan began to recant later on. See "REAGAN RECANTS": His Path From Armageddon to Detente" LA Times, DANIEL SCHORR JAN. 3, 1988

^{24 &}quot;In the winter of 2003, when George Bush and Tony Blair were frantically gathering support for their planned invasion, Professor Thomas Römer, an Old Testament expert at the university of Lausanne, was rung up by the Protestant Federation of France. They asked him to supply them with a summary of the legends surrounding Gog and Magog and as the conversation progressed, he realised that this had originally come, from the highest reaches of the French government."— The Guardian, Andrew Brown, August 10, 2009



Bethlehem's Shepherd's Fields, or the Fields of the Shepherds is today located in the town of Beit Sahour

to *"understand"* unless these also read the *prophets*? These were ardent readers, scholars, theologians, mighty evangelicals, even statesmen and U.S. presidents, yet God forthrightly views these as slandering *"wicked"* who did not *"understand"*. Why are they *"wicked*?" These, by following wrong interpretations, serve their father the devil and this is true regardless if they claimed to profess Christ.

In Daniel 11 Gog is renamed as "*the king of the north*". Daniel 11 becomes a jewel of prophecies since it gives a sequential order that no other prophet provides which no scholar resolved. Now

we can collate the other prophecies into their proper sequence and understand what was never understood before. In other words, no human being can unseal what is sealed until the proper time when we begin to see a glimpse of the end. This is a prophecy into itself, since no man can answer: why did humanity fail to unseal, not just Ezekiel or Daniel, but several other mysteries? But *seals* are not meant to remain sealed indefinitely, and neither do they await prominent scholars to discover them, and in fact refutes many, since such understanding is only obtained during the *time of the end* which no man knows its duration in years or decades. To all who ask: are you seriously claiming to have unlocked Daniel's seal? To these I counter with; didn't St. Jerome of Bethlehem promise that one day these seals:

"can be opened by <u>one</u> who has learned the mysteries of Scripture?"²⁵

St. Jerome once said, "I interpret as I should, following the command of Christ: 'Search the Scriptures,' and 'Seek and you shall find.' For if, as Paul says, Christ is the power of God and the wisdom of God, and if the man who does not know Scripture does not know the power and wisdom of God, then ignorance of Scriptures is ignorance of Christ."²⁶

But even St. Jerome understood his limitations, that when it came to *seals*, expressed his inability since during his time these "*are matters of secrecy*".²⁷ From half a century ago, I attempted to understand these prophecies from where I was born and lived, from where the wise St. Jerome etched these instructions where the angelic proclamation of Christ's birth was announced; the *Fields of the Shepherds*, in Bethlehem. God did not deliver the Good News about the birth of the Messiah to scholars, but to simple shepherds and "wise men from the east" (Magi) who patiently anticipated His star. Ezekiel 38 clearly ties to the "*seal*" in Daniel 12. Unless one desires to be in contempt, in order to accuse Russia, a scholar cannot use any external sources to find *Gog* besides what the Judge allows in His courtroom: *the prophets (plural)*. To

^{25 &}quot;... Daniel now signifies that the things he has said are matters of secrecy ... " "Many shall pass over" or "go through," this indicates that it will be read by many people ... "Also in the Revelation of John, there is a book seen which is sealed with seven seals inside and outside ... "But that book can be opened by <u>one</u> who has <u>learned the mysteries of Scripture</u> and understands its <u>hidden truths</u>, and its words which seem dark because of the greatness of the secrets they contain. <u>He it is who can interpret</u> the <u>parables</u> and transmute the letter which killeth into the spirit which quickeneth." St. Jerome, Commentary on Daniel (1958). p. 147-148

²⁶ Jerome, Commentary On Isaiah

²⁷ St. Jerome, Commentary on Daniel (1958). p. 147-148

solve this mystery we must find Gog, not just in Daniel 11, but in the rest of the prophets.

This took us decades to do.

To solve this dilemma, not even Bible reference manuals will suffice as evidence, since to locate the geography of "Gog," "the land of Magog," "Gomer," "the house of Togarmah," "Meshech and Tubal," without first discovering all these other prophecies, is in contempt of the Highest Court. This is true regardless that we can provide Bible maps that reveal²⁸ these are primarily in Asia Minor. Such references simply become additional exhibits, circumstantial evidence and not direct evidence. Gog's different aliases must be discovered by only using the Judge's source manual; "the prophets" while keeping in mind, every scholar must answer the Judge's question in Ezekiel 38:17.

What scholar is up for this challenge?

When God formulates a question, it is crippling.

We are almost complete in unsealing and revealing the "secrets," these prophecies contain, that address the liberation of the eastern Roman Empire by her son: Russia.

But this is for another time.

These seals are not only in Daniel, St. Jerome of Bethlehem correctly taught that Daniel, Isaiah, Jeremiah and the Apocalypse contain "hidden truths" "secrets" that are "sealed" and can only be opened when we interpret parabolically.²⁹ St. Jerome was referring to Daniel 12:4 where God sets this "seal" is mainly regarding Daniel 11's "king of the north" who rules occupied Byzantium, is today the ally of NATO in the east.

After Erdogan there shall arise one who will break his covenant with Russia.

The other problem in unsealing these secrets has been in the failure to define what the scriptures call "the land of the north". These secrets took us decades to resolve.

But it is here where we ask: name the scholar who defined the borders and the expanse of this mysterious "land of the north" from the scriptures? Daniel, in his seal, promised that as we reach the end, that "knowledge will increase". Unbeknownst to these who slander Russia, is how scriptures defines "the land of the north". This biblical global north is an entire expanse of earth, remains unchartered and undiscovered until now in your reading. This massive expanse encompasses three powers; one good (like Joseph is even good looking) in the northmost parts of "the land of the north"30 (northeast, Russia). In scripture it strikes and defeats what are below it; two powers; situated in the southeastern parts of "the land of the north" is Gog leads what Jeremiah calls "daughter Equpt"³¹ (occupier of Byzantium, Türkiye)³² was the eastern Roman Empire. The other is an old aged prostitute in the southwestern parts of "the land of the north"33 is harlot Jeremiah and Zechariah calls "daughter Babylon" also called "the

²⁸ see the Macmillan Bible Atlas, Oxford Bible Atlas, and The Moody Atlas of Bible Lands
29 "But that book can be opened by one who has learned the mysteries of Scripture and understands its hidden truths, and its words which seem dark because of the greatness of the secrets they contain. He it is who can interpret the parables and transmute the letter which killeth into the spirit which quickeneth."—Saint Jerome of Bethlehem, Commentary on Daniel (1958). pp. 121

³⁰ see Jeremiah 50:9

³¹ She was once God's "daughter" Jeremiah 46:11 "Virgin Daughter Egypt" (CSB) and Jeremiah 46:19 even calls her "O daughter dwelling in Egypt"

³² see Jeremiah 46:10, "Carchemish" Gog's final battle is in Byzantium "the land of the north" is the allegoric "Egypt" of Apŏcalypse 11

³³ see Zechariah 2:6 in light of Jeremiah 51:48,

coastlands" situated by the prophets "across the [Mediterranean] sea"34 was a dead giveawav pointing to Európē. Isaiah 59 even calls it "the west" is the northwest as we know it defeated from its east "the rising of the sun". This is Europe (the west) in what once was the western Roman Empire (northwestern). Isaiah even includes its young daughters "colonies" (the United States).

The biblical "Land Of The North" includes three superpowers:

1-NATO ally Türkive, Second Rome, as "Daughter Egypt" northeast of Israel, is deduced from Jeremiah 46:2, 10 gives the farthest southeastern borders of this expanse called "the land of the north".

2-NATO Europe, The First Rome, as "Daughter Babylon" northwest of Israel, is deduced from Zechariah 2:6-7 in light of Jeremiah 50:3, 9, 41, and 51:48 is the southwestern borders of this expanse called "*land of the north*". And then we have:

3-Anti-NATO Russia, The Third and final Rome, as the destroyer of both an allegoric Egypt ("daughter of Egypt" Türkiye), deduced from Jeremiah 46:20 and also the destroyer of "Daughter Babylon" (Europe) as deduced from Zechariah 2:6-7, in light of Jeremiah 50:3, 9, 41, and 51:48.

No scholar has ever produced this key.

As God aids to begin to break this seal, what you read so far is simply a snippet, out of hundreds of pages which we shall release soon.

For example on western absurdities here I shall give two secrets out of hundreds, then I will adjourn. For example, if Gog must be in Daniel, Daniel 11 says of him:

"But rumors from the *East* and from the *North* will disturb him [Gog, "king of the *north*"], and he [Gog] will go forth with great wrath to destroy and annihilate many. He shall plant the tents of his tabernacle between the seas and the glorious holy mountain; yet he shall come to his end, and none shall help him." (Daniel 11:44-45)

No scholar can find Gog in Daniel without addressing this prophecy. Tents are the tabernacles of Gog (occupier of old Byzantium) as Psalm 120:5 explains:

"Woe to me, for I reside in <u>Meshech</u>, For I have settled among the <u>tents of Kedar</u>!" (Psalm 120:5)

"Tents" is metaphor for tabernacles and temples. For example, "He forsook the tabernacle of *Shiloh*, the *tent* He had placed among men." (Psalm 78:60) Here the temple is defined as a "tent". "Shiloh" (the rightful holder of the Scepter of Judah)³⁵ is Christ. Did not pagan Japheth convert to finally "dwell in the tents of Shem" (the Semitic faith) when the Roman Empire converted?³⁶ Where then does this lamenter reside during his lamentation in Psalm 120:5? Is he in the deserts of Arabia dwelling amongst "the tents of Kedar" or is he lamenting the spread of Arabia's "tents" (mosques) in "Meshech" (Byzantium)? Isn't Gog the "chief prince of Meshech and Tubal?"37 Isaiah 13:20 also explains "The Arabian will no

³⁴ see Isaiah 23:2, Isaiah 23:11 "He has stretched out his hand over the sea; he has shaken the kingdoms" Also see "It tendrils reached across the sea" in Jeremiah 48:32, Isaiah 16:8. By such a reference we at least land in Europē even beyond.
35 Genesis 49:10, "The scepter shall not depart from Judah, nor the ruler's staff from between his feet, until Shiloh comes; and to him shall be the obedience of peoples,"

³⁶ see Genesis 9:27

^{37 &}quot;Meshech" where Gog resides is in Ezekiel 38:2,3 39:1

longer <u>pitch his tent</u> there" (Isaiah 13:20) is the end of Arabia's tabernacle fulfills Isaiah 21. Isaiah 13:20 simply announces the conversion of Muslims.

"Meshech" is filled with the *"tents of Kedar"* (mosques of Arabia). Psalm 120:5 is a prophecy about the end of Byzantium is replaced with the religion of Arabia. Gog's spiritual fountain is not Constantinople but Arabia (*"Kedar"*). Is it any wonder why in the final portions of Daniel 11 Gog honors a singular god? This answers why Babylon-Arabia is destroyed and then God ends Gog from its north: Russia.

But isn't "*Meshech*" Moscow? "*Meshech*" after all sounded like "*Moscow*" and "*Tubal*" sounded like "*Tobolsk*" in the east of the Ural Mountains in Asian Russia. But *Meshech* was not Moscow but *Mushki*³⁸ in Asia Minor. *Tubal* was not Tobolsk but Tābal,³⁹ also in Asia Minor. Both tyrannical locators were in Anatolia, the second Rome. Here Gog gets '*bad news*' from his "*east*" (Armenia) and from his "*north*". What is north of Türkiye? North of Türkiye is Byzantium's son: Russia. It is only then does the Gog menace "*end*" where old Byzantium is liberated by Russia (the new Byzantium) in Jeremiah 46 defeats mystical Egypt.

But these dolts said that *Meshech* in Ezekiel 38:2 was Moscow (Russia). What is north of Russia? Unless fish can fly from the Arctic to attack Russia's Tsar, the scholar (like the Titanic) sinks running into a frozen iceberg. These picked the wrong north and the wrong culprit emulating Israel's old errors when, after rejecting Christ, thought that the Romans were Gog and Magog.

Gog heads "*east*" to Armenia where NATO and Israel supports Azerbaijan regardless if these slaughter Armenians. We haven't even touched on *the west* being included in the Ezekiel 38-39 prophecy. We only gleaned from the final portions of Daniel. Besides the main mentioned coalition, Gog has more jeering supporters: "*many nations with you*"⁴⁰ adds these "*coastlands*" that "*sit carelessly*" (the harlot) in Ezekiel 39:6, where God, through this "*sword*" of Russia cuts to pieces and roasts both *harlot* and her ally *Gog*.

What about the "*Glorious Holy Mountain*" is this in Jerusalem? Is a "*mountain*" a hill? All allegoric mention of *mountain* in scripture is a *kingdom* or an *empire*. Is Jerusalem or Orthodox Russia north of Turkey? Gog heads the same direction in his war with Russia where we find the Tsar. God's solution to the world's problems is Christ Who appoints His servant here:

"I have aroused <u>one</u> from the **north**, and he has come; from the **rising of the sun** [farthest east] he will <u>call on My name</u>; and he will come upon rulers as upon <u>mortar</u>, even as the <u>potter treads clay</u>." (Isaiah 41:25)

³⁸ Meshech is Asia Minor "and the Mosocheni were founded by Mosoch; now they are Cappadocians" (Antiquities). Also see Tiglath-pileser I (11th century BC) ascended the throne at the time when a people known as the Mushki, or Mushku (Meshech of the Old Testament) thrusting into Asia Minor (now Türkiye)—Britannica, also see Block 1998, pp. 72–73, 439–440, Also see Luckenbill, Ancient Records of Assyria, p. 74, 138-144.

Bryce 2012, The World of The Neo-Hittite Kingdoms: A Political and Military History, p. 141-142, also see Giusfredi, Federico; Pisaniello, Valerio; Rizza, Alfredo (2021). "On the origin and meaning of the Assyrian toponym Tabal". Aramaza. Armenian Journal of Near Eastern Studies. 15 (1-2): 128–140.

⁴⁰ see Ezekiel 38:9

Is this ancient Cyrus? History confirms⁴¹ and so does Isaiah 45, that Cyrus was pagan: "though you have not known Me".⁴² The faith of this final Cyrus is the opposite: "call on My name". This "one" God arouses from the far north and far east ("rising of the sun") is Russia. Just examine the map. Of this one God says: "I will bring it to pass. I have planned it, surely I will do it".

"I have planned it" means that this "one" is in God's masterplan regarding the closure of the age "the end" spoken from the "beginning" is how God fulfills dual-natured prophecies. He comes from the same direction Gog heads towards in Daniel 11:44-45 ("east" and "north") where the "glorious holy mountain" (kingdom) resides.

Okav one more secret:

(The Ark Of Refuge)

"When I select an appointed time, it is I who judge fairly. <u>The earth</u> and all the inhabitants thereof melt ..." (Psalm 75:3)

Does the whole earth "melt?" Jeremiah explains it:

"I looked at the earth, and behold, *it was* a formless and desolate emptiness; and to the heavens, and they had no light. I looked on the mountains, and behold, they were quaking, and all the hills jolted back and forth. I looked, and behold, there was no human, and all the birds of the sky had fled. I looked, and behold, the fruitful land was a wilderness, and all its *cities* were *pulled down* before the LORD, before His fierce anger. For this is what the LORD says: "The whole earth shall be a desolation, yet I will not execute a complete destruction?" (Jeremiah 4:23-27)

"I looked at the earth". This is not just the Middle East, "and it was formless and empty" yet it says "I will not execute a complete destruction" spares parts of the earth.

But where would the faithful and the remnants go, especially when these obey the command to "come out of her My people?" What sense does it make if all "melt" to escape as instructed to the "valleys,"43 "mountains,"44 "crevices,"45 and the "deserts of the nations?"⁴⁶ To escape this massive destruction, Zechariah 2 mentions a holy "Jerusalem" established as a nonmetropolitan⁴⁷ "unwalled villages," "open country"⁴⁸ as permaculture

[&]quot;I returned the images of the gods, who had resided there [i.e., in Babylon], to their places and I let them dwell in eternal abodes."—"Cyrus Cylinder Translation." *Livius.org.* see Isaiah 45:5 41

⁴² 43 see Ezekiel 7:16

⁴⁴ see Matthew 24:16, Mark 13:14, Luke 21:21

⁴⁵ see Isaiah 7:19

⁴⁶ see Isaiah 16:18

⁴⁷ Hebrew uses the word "farzah" פָּרָה as Gesenius defines: "opposite of metropolis" as "in the plains" small "villages"

⁴⁸ see Ezekiel 38:11, Zechariah 2:4, Zechariah 14:5

communities that thrive away from city life in lands that were never inhabited before.⁴⁹ Christ instructs to escape, even St. John in the Apocalypse to "come out of her my people". This would not make sense if the whole earth melts and every poor soul is burned to crisp. Is a loving God a tricky gourmet chef, asking us to escape after being seared in the frying pan, then hop right into the oven? We even find these "unwalled villages" being invaded by Gog in Ezekiel 38:11. God defends this global Jerusalem becomes a "firewall" all around her.50 St. Paul in Galatians 4, divides Jerusalem into two Jerusalems one "earthly" and the other "heavenly". He did not conclude this division out of thin air, for we find it in the OT. A Jerusalem is much more than one imagines microcosmically in the old city with falafel vendors in alleyways and streets with tourists. This is a holy Jerusalem spread throughout the earth contradistinguished from earthly Jerusalem. It is this holy Jerusalem that God defends and is where these Evangelicals are completely lost. St. John and the bulk of the Old Testament prophets specifically predicted that the "cities" are mainly destroyed. The harlot is defined as a "city" even "the Great City" and so does St. John "the cities of the nations fell".⁵¹ This is even in the oldest prophecy regarding the destiny of nations; Numbers 24:19 when the "star" (Messiah, Christ) "will destroy the remnant from the city". Why does it say "remnant?" It is because all who remain in Babylon's cities are destroyed even including Jerusalem itself. What is beyond any shadow of doubt, that what God defends is Zechariah's definition of a holy Jerusalem in "open country" "unwalled villages" as also explained in Ezekiel 38:11, 13.

The time will come when the wise leave the cities, especially the gay-happy coastal cities. They must flee to either Russia, or to distant little villages, or as Christ also instructs to the hill-country. All this intense heat and fire of destruction upon the earth is summed in this ignored psalm: Psalm 75. Why does God "*melt*" "*the earth*"? He further explains it while providing much needed keys:

"When I <u>select an appointed time</u>, it is I who judge fairly. <u>*The earth*</u> and all the inhabitants thereof <u>melt</u> ..." (Psalm 75:3)

"For exaltation comes neither from <u>the east</u> nor from <u>the west</u> nor from <u>the south</u>. For God is the judge; he puts down one and exalts another. For in the hand of the LORD there is <u>a cup</u>, and the wine is red; it is full of mixture; and he pours out of the same: but the dregs thereof, <u>all the wicked of the earth</u> shall wring them out, and drink them ... And all the <u>horns of the wicked</u> will I cut off; but the <u>horns of the righteous</u> shall be <u>exalted</u>." (Psalm 75:6-8,10)

Why is God melting "the east," "the west," and "the south," yet excludes the NORTH !?

⁴⁹ see Ezekiel 38:11-12 "a land of unwalled villages; I will go to a peaceful people, who dwell safely, all of them dwelling without walls" "To take a spoil, and to take a prey; to turn thy hand upon the desolate places *that are now* inhabited, and upon the people *that are* gathered out of the nations, which have gotten cattle and goods" While מור בות could mean "ruins" but here it must conform with Is 48:20-21: "Go forth from Babylon! Flee from the Chaldeans! With a voice of singing, Declare, proclaim this, Utter it to the end of the earth; Say, "The LORD has redeemed His servant Jacob!" And they did not thirst when He led them through the deserts [חָרָבוֹת] He caused the waters to flow from the rock for them; He also split the rock, and the waters gushed out."

⁵⁰ see Zechariah 2:4-5

Since "*north*" has long been established as a sign of bad omens, most commentaries finagle⁵² this obvious holy north. One translation even inserts "north" into the text.⁵³ Here it is addressing "*The Earth*". If this is the case, their 'holy' Jerusalem is roasted since it exists in "*the south*". This excluded "*north*" as we already examined is the victor in Jeremiah 46, 50-51, and in Isaiah 41 is situated in the farthest northeast. This disqualifies The U.S., Canada, the Netherlands, Finland, Sweden and Norway since all these are missing "*the rising of the sun*". For the dissenting scholar to escape, he now must allegorize the utmost northeast, including Gog's worries in Daniel 11:44-45 stemming from this direction is called "the glorious holy mountain". What scholar dare finagle with Daniel 11's compass when this same compass was used to track Antiochus IV Epiphanes? There is much more to examine in Isaiah who pinpoints one destruction to the west of this northeastern nation which cooks Európē as far as the U.S. And just in case someone finagles with all this, in the scriptures God appoints one prophet to judge the destiny of all nations: Jeremiah, the "*prophet* [appointed] *unto the nations*"⁵⁴ speaks of this "*melt*" also excludes the north and boils ("*melt*") the rest:

"And the word of the LORD came to me the second time, saying, "What do you see?" And I said, "I see a *boiling pot*, and it is facing <u>away from</u> the <u>north</u>." (Jeremiah 1:4)

Jeremiah, Ezekiel, Isaiah, Joel, Habakkuk, Amos, Hosea, all begin speaking of the end in their first chapters. The *"boiling pot"* does not cook Russia since *"the pot" "is facing <u>away</u> from the north"*. God even says that this *north* becomes one of several *"stumbling blocks"* for interpreters:

"Behold, I am laying <u>stumbling blocks</u> before <u>this people</u> and they will stumble against <u>them.</u>⁵⁵ This is what the LORD says: Look, an army is coming from the <u>land of the north</u>; a <u>great nation</u> will be stirred up from the <u>remote regions of the earth</u>." (Jeremiah 6:21-22)

While Christ is the main Stumbling Block, from Him sprouts several "*blocks*" and "they will stumble against <u>them</u>" (plural). This "great nation" is God's servant-nation found in Jeremiah 46 as the "people of the north," are made the holy nation ("consecrated") in Jeremiah 51:27 and now they are being stirred up from "the land of the north" and from the "remote regions of the earth". It becomes one of the major "stumbling blocks" to its enemies crushing who stumble against it and even cooks them.

Psalm 75 reserves the north by exclusion, yet Ezekiel 1 mentions it as the the source of this "*melt*" while Jeremiah 6 pinpoints it as an army coming from "*the land of the north*". The servant-nation must then be the "*horns of the righteous*" in Psalm 75, exults God where the rest drink "*the cup*" are the "*horns of the wicked*" "*east*," "*west*," and "*south*". But Psalm 75 provides a crucial key, the *power* ("*horns*") are divided between

⁵² For example, bible.org claims that by "south" must also encompass this missing north. North is always painted as evil, kingjamesbibleonline.org explains: "being omitted, as sufficiently implied in the others" since other prophecies condemn north therefore it is implied, wernerbiblecommentary.org says: "no mention is made of the north. This may not have any particular significance."

⁵⁰ GNT (Good News Translation) inserts "north" into the text: "Judgment does not come from the east or from the west, from the north or from the south".

⁵⁴ see Jeremiah 1:5

⁵⁵ also see Isaiah 8:14-15

two parties 1—"horns of the righteous"⁵⁶ (this excluded north avoids "the cup") versus "horns of the wicked" ("east," "west," and "south" drink "the cup") are the subject of Habakkuk,57 Daniel,58 St. John's Apocalypse,59 and Zechariah who includes the "horns" that scattered Judah⁶⁰ would definitely encompass both flanks for the Roman Empire. All these tie together. Therefore, a far 'north' of the earth (the northmost part of the "land of the north") is excluded from judgment because it represents the "horns of the righteous" (north).

Therefore, The east, the west and the south of the earth are the "horns [powers] of the wicked" that no longer exult God. These are found guilty then drink "the cup" when their cities are destroyed by this "melt" as Zechariah explains:

"Their flesh shall <u>dissolve</u> while they stand on their feet, their eyes shall <u>dissolve</u> in their sockets, and their tongues shall dissolve in their mouths".61

Is this "dissolve" ("melt") allegoric? Joel speaks of mushroom clouds: "blood, and fire, and *pillars* of *smoke*".⁶² This is obviously caused by the *consecrated* holy ones when "their *arrows* [missiles] shall be like those of an *expert warrior*; *none* shall return in vain [precision strikes]":63

"Up, up! Flee from the **land of the north**, says the LORD; for I have spread you abroad like the four winds of heaven," says the LORD. Up, Sion! Escape, you who dwell with the daughter Babylon." (Zechariah 2:6-7)

Babylon is immense being spread towards the "four winds of heaven". Daughter-Babylon's destruction comes from the north of her:

"For behold, I will raise and cause to come up against <u>Babylon</u> an <u>assembly of great</u> nations [federation] from the land of the north, and they shall array themselves against her; from there [the lands of these federation] she shall be **captured**. Their arrows shall be like those of an expert warrior; none shall return in vain" (Jeremiah 50:9)

"They seize their bow and javelin; they are cruel and have no mercy. Their voice roars like the sea; and they ride on horses, drawn up like a man for the battle against vou, daughter Babylon." (Jeremiah 50:42)

"For a *nation* [singular] has come up against her from <u>the north</u>; it will make her land an object of horror, and there will be no inhabitant in it" (Jeremiah 50:3)

62 see Joel 2:30

⁵⁶ also see Apocalypse 5:6 "Then I saw one like a slaughtered lamb standing in the midst of the throne and the four living creatures and among the elders. He had seven horns and seven eyes, which are the seven spirits of God sent into all the earth

⁵⁷ see Habakkuk 1-3

⁵⁷ see FIADAKKUK 1-5
58 see Daniel 7:7, 8, 20, 24, Daniel 8:3, 7, 8, 22,
59 see Apocalypse 12:3, 13:1, 17:3, 7, 12, 16
60 "I saw by night, and behold a man riding upon a red horse, and he stood among the myrtle-trees that *were* in the bottom; and behind him *were there* red horses, speckled [Hebrew Barudi, Hail], and white." (Zechariah 1:8) "Then I raised my eyes and looked, and there *were* four horns." (Zechariah 1:8) "These are the horns that scattered Judah so that no one could raise their head, but the craftsmen have come to terrify them and throw down these horns of the nations who lifted up their horns against the land of Judah to scatter its people." (Zechariah 1:21)
61 see Zechariah 14:12
62 sea load 2:30

⁶³ see Jeremiah 50:9

Here too we find this *"sting"* by *"arrows"*. If by *"cruel"* in Jeremiah 50:42, one takes these holy peoples as brutes, Isaiah 13 has a surprise:

"Behold, the day of the LORD cometh, <u>cruel</u>⁶⁴ both with wrath and fierce anger, to lay the land desolate: and he shall destroy the sinners thereof out of it." (Isaiah 13:9)

God Himself here is said to be "*cruel*" in this war, and so will the Russians. Isaiah 13, like Jeremiah 50-51 also addresses "*Babylon*" as destroyed by the holy ones:

"I have commanded My <u>consecrated</u> <u>ones</u>, I have even called My mighty warriors, My proudly exulting ones, To *execute* My anger." (Isaiah 13:3)

Jeremiah 51:28 also complements the destroyers when God orders to "consecrate" this army as a holy army. In these verses, Jeremiah includes both the victor and the conquered. The victor being from "the land of the north" is in singular form "a nation"65 and in plural form "nations"⁶⁶ makes it a federation.⁶⁷ Being called "daughter Babylon" in Jeremiah 50 is similar to Byzantium become "daughter Egypt" in Jeremiah 46, since both once were God's daughters, due to their fall are given away to be adopted by mystical Egypt and Babylon. The victor, (destroyer) being "north" of the other two who are also "in the land of the north" concludes our proposal of Three Romes all situated in "the land of the north". This should suffice and leave no question regarding three powers, satisfies two-feet warring with a "stone" in Daniel 2 equals three powers. This also satisfies being called Sodom (Great City) and Egypt versus the Holy City also equals three powers. This also sets the north-south divide, one as a holy-north and two as unholy-south. Neither Zechariah or Jeremiah locate "daughter Babylon," in Iraq, but in the "land of the north". Here we shall reveal why this shatters all these outdated proposals. The two destructions (Jeremiah 46, Jeremiah 50-51) from the holy north constitute the Three Romes.

What is north of Európē? Holy Russia.

What is north of Byzantium? Holy Russia.

None of this can be attributed to ancient Persia. There is a purpose why the Apocalypse referenced the old testament more than any new testament book. It was written way after ancient Babylon fell to Cyrus of Persia. The west being called "*daughter Babylon*" and Byzantium's occupier being called "*daughter Egypt*" can never be the original Babylon for these two once were God's *daughters*, now become daughters of Babylon and Egypt. *Babylon* is depicted as the "*mother of harlots*". While many focus on the "*mother*," (Jerusalem) while they completely ignore the *daughters* (born of earthly Jerusalem) each lumped as "*daughter*" with many nations/cities ("*daughters*") is why the *Great City* is defined as "peoples, multitudes, nations, and tongues"⁶⁸ and why "*Babylon*" is "*the Mother* [singular, Jerusalem] *of Harlots* [plural]".

⁶⁴ אַכָזרי 'akzârîy (cruel) Strong's H394, also see STRONGS H394

⁶⁵ see Jeremiah 50:3

⁶⁶ see Jeremiah 50:9

⁶⁷ a group of states with a central government but independence in internal affairs. "the Russian Federation"—see Cambridge Dictionary

⁶⁸ see Apocalypse 17:15

Therefore, this massive expanse called "*the land of the north*" can never be defined as *Babylon*-Iraq during the days of Cyrus. Any dissenting view insisting this is addressing "Babylon-Iraq" is quashed, not just by every other piece of this winning side of the chessboard, where a single move of one pond checkmates our opponent's king:

"So I will hurl you [the Jewish people] out of this land [land of Israel] into the land which you have <u>not known</u>, neither <u>you</u> nor your <u>fathers</u>; and there you will serve other gods day and night, for I will grant you no favor. Therefore, behold, the days come, saith the LORD, that it shall no more be said, the LORD liveth, that brought up the children of Israel out of the land of Egypt; but, the LORD liveth, that brought up the children of Israel from the <u>land of the north</u>, and from all the lands whither he had driven them: and I will bring them again into their land that I gave unto their fathers." (Jeremiah 16:13-15)

No seasoned scholar disputes, that this points to where the Jews were exiled after the destruction of their temple, were taken to Rome (Európē) is defined here as being in the *"land of the north,"* a land unknown⁶⁹ (unsettled) by their fathers. It was in old-Rome (the west) that Jewish communities thrived and became a significant part of the Roman Empire's population, as much as 7 million people, is undisputed as history confirms.⁷⁰ They then spread towards the Ottoman landmass (eastern side of the empire), also in the southern parts of the *"land of the north,"* beginning in 1492, until the end of World War I. They also spread towards Russia where today Russia houses the highest number of Israeli citizens outside Israel.

Európē as "daughter Babylon" is similar to how Byzantium become the Ottoman world in Jeremiah 46 is called "daughter Egypt" both are situated in "the land of the north" making this land extending to what is north of both; Russia. Therefore, "The land of the north" extends upwards to the most northern parts, were Jews also migrated, and many returned to Israel during Perestroika and are gradually returning back to Russia. Therefore, the "land of the north" is simply a biblical northern hemisphere (Türkiye, Európē and beyond to Russia). The prophets divide it into three regional powers.

Jeremiah 16 says "land which *you have not known*, neither you nor *your fathers*." But Abraham knew Babylon-Iraq, for he once settled in it, and their fathers too knew Babylon, and even knew Persia, and settled in it. Being checkmated with Jeremiah 16, what will the dissenting scholar answer when we ask:

"Did Abraham come out of the land of the north?"

The pharisaic scholar will gather the others and say:

"if we say *the land of the north* is Iraq, he will ask 'did the Hebrew fathers not know Iraq?' At this, the crowds will stone us. Even if we say 'we do not know where Abraham came out of,' the crowds will still stone us, for they will know that we are liars." Psalm 75 is not an allegoric "*melt*" as some interpreters claim, but a barrage of precision strikes, a feature that never existed in ancient warfare lobbed from the territory of the righteous horns (powers). This north is exempt because,

⁶⁹ To "know" in scripture means more than just to 'recognize' or to 'be aware of' as in English. An intimate relationship between a man and a woman in scripture is to 'know' 'Adam knew Eve his wife; and she conceived' "Cain knew his wife; and she conceived, and bare Enoch". To "know" the "land of the north" is therefore to 'enter' and 'to reside in it'

⁷⁰ Pasachoff, Naomi E.; Littman, Robert J. (1995). A Concise History of the Jewish People.. p. 67

at that time of judgment, it lifts up God's banner. In Micah 5:5 and Psalm 48, their massive expanse of land is studded throughout with thousands of "*citadels*" where in them they obviously exult God.

Okay one final secret (I promise) and I will let the reader rest. Why does this prophecy reside lonely:

"Beautiful in elevation, the joy of the whole earth, is **Mount Sion in the far north**, the city of the *great King*. God is in her *citadels*; He is known as her *refuge*. For behold, *the kings* assembled, they passed by together. They saw it, and so they marveled; they were troubled, they hastened away. Fear took hold of them there, and pain, as of a woman in birth pangs, as when You break the **ships of Tarshish** with an <u>east wind</u>." (Psalm 48:2-7)

We must first remove the scales and the microcosmic lens. Is this "Mount Sion" a literal hill in Jerusalem? How so when God already defines it as a "city?" If a Great City is an empire, what then is this holy "city?" What about these "citadels" (cathedrals)? The little hill called "Mount Sion" in today's Jerusalem is south, not north of the ancient scarped temple.⁷¹ Is this the New Jerusalem? Obviously, Psalm 48 is a war. Is Christ this "king" fighting a war in a time that "the wolf will dwell with the lamb, and the leopard will lie down with the young goat?"⁷² Why is this "*city*" then made into a village that can only fit a little hill? And why do we find a kingdom in Daniel and a holy servant-nation all throughout? Is this "mount Sion" in the celestial or heaven as many others73 claim? If so, these "ships of Tarshish" become spaceships warring in the cosmos! Are we to view this as star wars against a celestial power with the king-servant named Captain Phasma? Is this a "mountain of the gods" as contemporary scholars claim⁷⁴ that the prophets borrowed from the Canaanite myth⁷⁵ of "mount Zephon?" If so, why do none of these scholars agree of its exact whereabouts?⁷⁶ This theory was even introduced into Protestant bibles and manuals⁷⁷ to simply remove from the prophetic equation the compass pointing "north". Sion to these must be in Jerusalem.

Did God have all these conflicting scenarios in mind? But such conclusions can only be assumed by isolating this Psalm from other sister prophecies. This is exactly what one finds in the case of "*Gog*" and is exactly what one finds when we examine today's scholarship on Psalm 48.

73 see Coffman's Commentaries on the Bible,

⁷¹ see Google Maps "Mount Zion"

⁷² see Isaiah 11:6

^{74 &}quot;north with reference to the old Asiatic conception of the mountain of the gods"-see Keil

⁷⁵ The discovery of the Ras Shamra texts in North Syria in 1929 regarding Canaanite religion. See, Canaanite Myth and Hebrew Epic, Richard J. Clifford, The Cosmic Mountain in Canaan and the Old Testament, Sid Albright, Yahweh and the Gods of Canaan.

⁷⁶ So say its Jebel Abu Hasan, Migdal Baal-Zephon (Cairo papyrus 31169) or Zeus Casius, or Mons Casius or W.F. Albright says it is at port Tahpanhes (Daphne) others say its at Ras Kasrun near the Serbonic Lake.

<sup>Albright says it is at port lalipanties (Daphne) others say its at Kas Kasrun near the Serbonic Lake.
77 Since the discovery at Ras Shamra in 1929 scholars replaced "Mount Zion, the <u>uttermost parts</u> of the <u>north</u>" as "Mount Zion, summit of Zaphon" equated to Ba al Şəfön or ba'lu şapāni, see Bible Gateway, Christian Cyclopedia, Nelson F. Stanley, p.815, Enduring Authority, p. 574, Zondervan Atlas of the Bible, NIV Archeological study Bible, Walter C. Kaiser on Psalm 48, Hayford, New Spirit Filled, pg. 686, J Alec Motyer in Isaiah, pg. 136, Dictionary of Old Testament, Biblical Scholarship, Commentary on Psalm 48, Study Notes Psalm 48 Matters on Interpretation, Studylight.org, biblestudytools.com, Israel Institute of Biblical Studies, Jonathan Lipnick, December 6, 2014, Journal of Biblical Literature, Vol. 82, No. 4 (Dec., 1963), pp. 419-426, W. F. Albright "Baal Zaphon", Holman Standard version (2003), Earl D. Radmacher Nelson's New Illustrated Bible Commentary, 680, Academia.Edu</sup>

By "*mount*," does this "*king*" rule a "*hill*"⁷⁸ in Jerusalem? Or is this "*mount*" as God defined it "*the city [empire] of the great king?*" It is situated "*in the far north*" of the earth where Psalm 75 left out this northerly expanse from judgment. Psalm 75 clearly addresses the "*earth*" just as Jeremiah does. It is the "*Glorious Holy Mountain*" (kingdom) in Daniel 11:45 situated north of Gog whose mosques expand between the two seas; the Mediterranean and the Caspian encompassing what once was Byzantium. Psalm 48 cannot be divorced from Psalm 75 which speaks of the destruction of the whole earth with the exception of "*north*" as "*horns of the righteous*".⁷⁹ If Psalm 48's "*mount*" is a hill, does a "*king*" rule a hill? He defeats "*kings*" (plural) who come with military naval armada "For, lo, the <u>kings</u> assembled themselves …" as a unity. This is the unipolar world order defeated. This event is unlike Byzantium's old fate. These scatter "They were terrified, they fled in alarm"⁸⁰ because obviously they heard the news when their nations are struck!

These are the evil "*kings*" of Daniel 2, where God, to thwart these kings "*cut a stone*" out of "*the mountain*" which became victorious as it "*filled the earth*" in Daniel 2:35 to become "*the joy of the whole earth*" in Psalm 48:2.

The battle of Psalm 48 ends with the global conversion of nations. How else can we explain it becoming the "*joy of the whole earth*?" This can never be microcosmic Hebrew-Israel. How does Daniel 2's "*stone*" (which is cut out of the mountain) then also becomes a "*mountain*" and then "*filled the earth*?" Unless the first mountain (the Roman Empire) became rotten and God salvaged it by cutting a good remnant piece then flung it northwards to generate another *mountain*, nothing else will make sense.

Psalm 48 is the story of these slavic Christians of Russia who remained steadfast against all odds, even after their holy kingdom (the last kingdom that upheld God's banner) fell away into Communism, and by this, all what remains is determining when its status become a holy kingdom is when it elects a king (Tsar).

If Daniel 2's *"stone"* is a literal stone or hill, this must be an extremely elastic *"mountain"*. Is God a baker kneading it so that the gluten is stretched out to fill the earth!? The shock comes when we examine every allegoric *mountain[s]* is obviously a kingdom or empire in Psalm 80:8-10, Daniel 2:35, Zechariah 4:7, Isaiah 14:25, Isaiah 41:15, Ezekiel 6:3, Ezekiel 17:22-24, Ezekiel 34:6, Ezekiel 36:1, Ezekiel 38:20-21, Ezekiel 39:1, Jeremiah 51:25, Jeremiah 3:23, Habakkuk 3:3, 3-7, Zechariah 6:1, Zechariah 14:4-5, Apocalypse 6:14 and Apocalypse 17:7-10.

This is quite the collection where if a "*mountain*" is applied literally in these references it would promote paganism. For example: "*Mountains* of Israel, *listen* to the word of the Lord GOD..." Does the scholar want to claim that mountains have ears? God is speaking to failing Christendom comprised of many nations ("*mountains*"). He promised Abraham in Genesis 17:4-5, 18:18, Galatians 3:8 and to Jacob in Genesis 35:11, that from Jacob who was renamed "*Israel*" God will spawn a "*company of nations*" are the "*mountains*] of Israel". Do mountains have ears when we read:

"and say, '*Mountains* of *Israel*, listen to the word of the Lord GOD! This is what the Lord GOD says to the *mountains*, the *hills*, the ravines, and the

⁷⁸ see Matthew Poole, Clarke's, Barnes' Notes,

⁷⁹ Psalm 75:10

⁸⁰ see Psalm 48:4,5

valleys: Behold, I Myself am going to bring a sword against you, and I will destroy your *high places*." (Ezekiel 6:3)

Here we even find this arisen "sword" that chastises all who were of "Israel" and are found asleep, yet this sword is found in Isaiah 41 from the distant *east*⁸¹ specifically the distant northeast.⁸² This "Israel" is all encompassing of all the nations that once lifted up God's banner. Therefore, this "sword" that carries out these punishments must also exist. Is God punishing literal mountains in Judea, or is He punishing the "mountains of Israel" which is the whole fallen away nations in what once was the Roman Empire and beyond? What happens when we ask the scholar: is an allegoric *mount* a hill? The scholar then will gather the other scholars and say "if we say that a *mount* is a *kingdom*, he then will ask, why not believe Daniel? But if we say that a "mount" is a hill, he will then ask: is the "stone" also a rock? At this the crowds will stone us." To make a "mountain" as a literal hill must also convert the "stone" (the kingdom of Christ) into a literal rock! There are only 21 references to "Mount Sion" in the Old Testament. On earth "Mount Sion" reflects the heavenly "Mount Sion". The most common use is this verse:

"For out of Jerusalem will go a remnant, and survivors out of Mount Sion. The zeal of the LORD will perform this."83

That a remnant of Judah will flee Jerusalem to finally come out of "Mount Sion" which is "in the far north" and then all go towards the New Jerusalem. This is the binding of Judah with Joseph to come out of the "land of the north" (Jer, 3, 16).

Only a remnant Jews repent and they come out only when they seek the aid of this handsome Joseph: Holv Russia.

When it comes to the ends of days, since all nations fall away, the references to a preserved holy city switch from "south" to "north" because Jerusalem becomes "Sodom" and "Babulon" and so does Rome and the only reserved holy kingdom sprouts from the north: Russia, is the "stone" of Daniel 2. This is a "kingdom" that must arise "during the days of those kings". In other words, as the kingdoms of Antichrist arise, so will this kingdom arise alongside with it and this one crushes all the other.

This is the north-south divide. Since Cain and Abel, there is a definite divide between two rivals; the *holy city* represented by heavenly Jerusalem (party of the east, the fruits of St. Gregory Palamas) remains steadfast and spiritually head north, versus the *great city* represented by earthly Jerusalem (party of the west, the bitter fruits of Thomas Aquinas), spiritually heads south. This is a divide one even finds in Zechariah 14, and even when Christ, Who stands at the east, divides the nations between sheep (right, north) and goat nations (left, south) is also the divide of the two thieves with fallen Jerusalem west. Isaiah addresses several nations as "rulers of Sodom". Russians and

⁸¹ see Isaiah 41:2

⁸² see Isaiah 41:25

see 1 Satan 41:25 see 2 Kings 19:31, Isaiah 37:32, Joel 2:32, Obadiah 1:17. Isaiah 29 also mentions "Mount Sion". The prophecy is the judgment of Jerusalem (Ariel, Lion of Judah) and then an instant victory for "Mount Sion": "But the multitude of happen instantly, suddenly." (Isaiah 29:5) "... With whirlwind and tempest and the flame of a consuming fire." (V.6) "multitude of all the nations be who wage war against Mount Sion". (Isaiah 29:8) Isaiah 29 is a mystery "The entire vision will be to you like the words of a sealed book, which, when they give it to the one who is literate, saying, "Please read this," he will say, "I cannot, because it is sealed." But then He promises it will be unsealed "On that day those who are deaf will hear words of a book, And out of *their* gloom and darkness the eyes of those who are blind will see." (Isaiah 29:18) 83

Palestinians like myself have the lowest support for *Sodom* in the world. Can one say the same for Israeli Jews (south) who have the highest rate of bisexuality in the world just as we see in the Vatican?

All who fail to leave this global *Sodom* are clearly destroyed. Israel will be destroyed by Turkey and Iran, since the "beast" burns mother-harlot and the west ("daughter Babylon") will be destroyed by Russia.

Nighty Night.

If you desire the rest of the secrets write us and once we are done with our book we will be glad to send it to you free:

shoebat@sheobat.com or Facebook page: Theodore Ted Shoebat